MACY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Macy Elementary School	30647666020176	April 19, 2022	June 14,2022

Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Macy School returned to in-person instruction for the 2021-2022 school year. Macy's SPSA is written to align with and support the Lowell Joint School District's three LCAP goals: Goal 1 All students of the Lowell Joint School District will have appropriate conditions for successful learning outcomes, Goal 2 All students in the Lowell Joint School District will demonstrate continuous student achievement and progress toward being college and career ready, and Goal 3 Stakeholders, including parents and students, will be engaged in supporting student learning in a positive and safe school climate. Macy's specific goals address creating an environment that support academic achievement for all students, a positive school climate, and an environment that provides opportunity and access for all students.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

Data Analysis
Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

Surveys
This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

The 2022 LJSD Parent Survey

The Lowell Joint School District sent surveys home to parents in the spring of 2022.

Parents were asked to respond to questions with 'Agree / Strongly Agree/ Disagree /Strongly Disagree/ Do Not Know'.

Parents answered questions that cover 5 Focus Areas of program and school climate. Scores listed are for responses of Strongly Agree and Agree, where possible,
the previous year's percentage is listed in parenthesis.

Focus Area #1   Academic Preparation
Q2 - Strong Academic Program - 86.8%
Q3 - Encourages High Academic Goals - 73.5%
Q5 - Academic Support - 91.8%   (72.4%)
Q6 - Opportunities for Enrichment - 51.4% (48.6%)
Q7 - Balanced Academic Program - 82.7%
Q26 - School Provides Resources Needed - 88.4% (96.7%)
Q27 - Student has access to Instructional Materials - 96.6% (87.1%)
Q33 - Student Usually Understands Work - 85.4%

Focus Area #2 Parent Communication
Q4 - Ongoing Parent Communication - 96.1%
Q8 - Parent Understanding of California Content Standards - %
Q9 - Frequent Information Regarding Student Progress - 90.8%
Q17 - Timely Feedback on Assignments and homework - 90.2% (85.4%)
Q21 - Parents Informed of School Activities - 99.3% (90.3%)
Q24 - Decision making Process Clearly Communicated - 58%
Q25 - Access to Technology and Can Receive School Emails - 99.9%   (98.4%)
Q30 - Parents Understand Identification Process for English Learners - 56.9%   (67.2%)
Q31 - School Communicates ELPAC Scores - 47.2%   (57.9%)
Q32 - Parents Informed of EL Parent Meetings - 45%   (45.2%)

Focus Area #3   School Environment
Q10 - Student Feels Welcome at School - 95.9%   (83.54%)
Q11 - Encourages Citizenship - 92.5% (90.8%)
Q12 - Child Safe at School - 93.1% (86.9%)
Q13 - Respect for Students - N/A
Q16 - School Provides High Level of Service - 93.2%   (90.8%)
Q20 - Parents Feel Welcome - 90.8% (93.1%)
Q22 - Parents Feel Comfortable Initiating a Conversation - 91.8%   (93.4%)

Focus Area #5   Perception of School

Q14 - Child Happy to Go to School - 93.3%   (83.1%)
Q15 - Staff Respectful - 94.5% (96.8%)
Q18 - Multiple Children - 49.8% (62.3%)
Q19 - Multi-Generation - 334.9% (6.1%)
Q28 - School Kept Clean - 96.6% (78.9%)
Q29 - School Needs Modernization - 77.4% (80%)

Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

The principal conducts classroom observations. There are regular informal observations and classroom visitations, and the principal is visible on campus. Formal observations are completed biannually followed by a formal evaluation.

Analysis of Current Instructional Program

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA)

Administration and staff at Macy Elementary rely on analysis of district benchmark assessments and Smarter Balanced data to modify instruction using evidence based strategies and programs to improve achievement for all learners.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

The staff at Macy use data to monitor student progress. Data provides critical information for educators, families, and students and is the basis for modifying instruction and improving outcomes for all learners. Macy staff uses data from: iReady, Accelerated Reader, STAR Reading, ST Math, grade level exams, and District Benchmark scores.

Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

All teachers at Macy meet the requirements to be highly qualified as required by ESEA.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

All teachers, T-K - 6 are fully credentialed and participate frequently in district, site, and independent professional development trainings. Teachers are completing GATE training as provided by the Orange County Office of Education in conjunction with the District. All staff participates in ongoing Mind maps and Write from the Beginning trainings.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Staff development and trainings are fully aligned to state content standards, designed to address assessed student performance needs, and to develop our staff's needs as professional educators. Upon review of student achievement scores it is evident that writing is an area of need at Macy. Writing will be a targeted area of improvement through the implementation of the district provided program Write from the Beginning.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

Teachers at Macy have on-going support of site and district administration in the delivery of high level and successful student centered instruction.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

Teachers meet in grade level teams and district wide with their grade level cohorts to share ideas and develop curriculum.

Teaching and Learning

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

All materials and curriculum are state approved and adopted by our school board. All teachers are highly qualified and use effective teaching strategies to ensure student achievement. All students are monitored throughout the year to ensure that academic performance standards are met.

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

All teachers are required to submit long range plans, and weekly and daily schedules that outline when subjects are taught and for how long, to ensure that instructional minutes are met.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

All teachers have access to the District level pacing guides for core subjects. Grade levels meet regularly to review and monitor the master schedule designed for flexibility to provide intervention for students during the school day.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA)

All materials and curriculum are state approved and adopted by our school board. All teachers are highly qualified and use effective teaching strategies to ensure student achievement. All students are monitored throughout the year to ensure that academic performance standards are met.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

All materials and curriculum are state approved and adopted by our school board. All teachers are highly qualified and use effective teaching strategies to ensure student achievement. All students are monitored throughout the year to ensure that academic performance standards are met.

Opportunity and Equal Educational Access

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Underperforming students at Macy receive services provided by the regular program in several ways. All Macy teachers use iReady and Accelerated Reader with fidelity, and are GLAD trained and use GLAD strategies in their classrooms. Macy has two intervention teachers who work with small groups of underperforming students several times each week during the school day.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

All Macy teachers use iReady, Accelerated Reader and ST Math with fidelity, are GLAD trained and use GLAD strategies in their classrooms. Teachers are trained in and use Thinking Maps.

Parental Engagement

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

Macy has a strong and active PTA whose members are involved in daily activities at school. Macy's School Site Council holds meetings and has a role in the decision making process regarding the successful education of Macy students.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Macy has an active School Site Council which consists of 10 members. Half of the membership consists of parents and the other half consists of classified and certificated staff. The SSC meets each trimester to approve and monitor annual goals. At each meeting, the SSC reviews a SPSA goal and discusses implementation as well as possible modifications based on a current needs assessment and analysis of data.

<u>Funding</u>

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

NA

Fiscal support (EPC)

Macy receives a Low Performing Schools Grant in addition to a site allocation, supplemental dollars to support unduplicated pupils, and monies to support STEAM activities, attendance incentives and before and after-school support.

Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

The school consulted the School Site Council as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update. The principal attends monthly PTA meetings and meets once each trimester with School Site Council, parents and students are surveyed annually,   teaching staff meets weekly, and the Instructional Leadership Team meets monthly to develop our instructional plan. Our goals related to student achievement were determined in the Instructional Leadership Team meeting and approved by School Site Council. The school reviewed achievement data as collected by teachers and iReady Assessment data. CASSP testing data from 2021 was limited, but we look forward to using data from 2022 Smarter Balanced Assessments data to help drive our program.

Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

It became evident in reviewing the data as part of the comprehensive needs assessment, that a large percentage of our students did not have access to before and after school interventions. To address this need staff collaborated and developed a school day intervention program in order to meet the needs of all students. A before and after school tutoring program was implemented for the 2021-2022 school year as was well attended by students. It will continue into the 2022-2023 school year. Before and after school tutoring sessions, and an intervention program built into the school day are designed to meet the needs of students and to address the needs of underperforming students.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
Enrollment By Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Enrollment			Number of Students		
	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21
American Indian	%	0%	%		0	
African American	1.16%	0.85%	0.4%	6	4	2
Asian	4.24%	4.04%	4.4%	22	19	20
Filipino	0.77%	1.28%	1.8%	4	6	8
Hispanic/Latino	58.19%	58.3%	60.2%	302	274	275
Pacific Islander	0.39%	0.43%	0.2%	2	2	1
White	32.76%	32.77%	28.2%	170	154	129
Multiple/No Response	1.73%	1.49%	2.8%	9	7	13
			Total Enrollment	519	470	457

Student Enrollment
Enrollment By Grade Level

Grade	Number of Students		
	18-19	19-20	20-21
Kindergarten	75	54	81
Grade 1	54	60	36
Grade 2	72	53	67
Grade3	80	71	54
Grade 4	78	81	67
Grade 5	78	73	75
Grade 6	82	78	77
Total Enrollment	519	470	457

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There was a slight increase in the Asian student subgroup from 2.98% to 4.24%.

2. Based on this data there is a significant increase in kindergarten enrollment from 47 to 74, and a drop in first grade enrollment from 72 to 54 students.

School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment						
Student Group	**Number of Students**			**Percent of Students**		
	18-19	**19-20**	**20-21**	**18-19**	**19-20**	**20-21**
English Learners	19	18	14	3.7%	3.8%	3.1%
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	27	22	25	5.2%	4.7%	5.5%
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP)	8	4	4	34.8%	21.1%	22.2%

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There was a slight improvement in RFEP students in the 2021-2022 school year.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

Overall Participation for All Students											
Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	83	82	51	79	82	49	79	82	49	95.2	100	96.1
Grade 4	80	77	67	79	72	64	79	72	64	98.8	93.5	95.5
Grade 5	75	77	73	71	76	72	71	76	72	94.7	98.7	98.6
Grade 6	73	81	76	71	79	70	71	79	70	97.3	97.5	92.1
All Grades	311	317	267	300	309	255	300	309	255	96.5	97.5	95.5

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Achievement for All Students															
Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	2439.	2438.	2436.	34.18	34.15	30.61	25.32	20.73	22.45	15.19	18.29	22.45	25.32	26.83	24.49
Grade 4	2469.	2470.	2450.	25.32	25.00	20.31	30.38	25.00	20.31	17.72	23.61	26.56	26.58	26.39	32.81
Grade 5	2499.	2512.	2510.	16.90	22.37	29.17	32.39	35.53	23.61	21.13	22.37	19.44	29.58	19.74	27.78
Grade 6	2544.	2533.	2518.	26.76	21.52	24.29	35.21	32.91	27.14	15.49	22.78	18.57	22.54	22.78	30.00
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	26.00	25.89	25.88	30.67	28.48	23.53	17.33	21.68	21.57	26.00	23.95	29.02

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	35.44	43.90	28.57	46.84	35.37	48.98	17.72	20.73	22.45
Grade 4	30.38	26.39	17.19	46.84	48.61	68.75	22.78	25.00	14.06
Grade 5	28.17	28.95	25.00	43.66	51.32	56.94	28.17	19.74	18.06
Grade 6	32.39	16.46	25.71	42.25	55.70	44.29	25.35	27.85	30.00
All Grades	31.67	29.13	23.92	45.00	47.57	54.90	23.33	23.30	21.18

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing									
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	17-18	**18-19**	**20-21**	**17-18**	**18-19**	**20-21**	**17-18**	**18-19**	**20-21**
Grade 3	34.18	23.17	18.37	34.18	42.68	59.18	31.65	34.15	22.45
Grade 4	21.52	12.50	9.52	54.43	63.89	61.90	24.05	23.61	28.57
Grade 5	30.99	21.05	33.33	38.03	53.95	36.11	30.99	25.00	30.56
Grade 6	30.99	25.32	25.71	43.66	54.43	35.71	25.35	20.25	38.57
All Grades	29.33	20.71	22.44	42.67	53.40	46.85	28.00	25.89	30.71

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills									
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	17-18	**18-19**	**20-21**	**17-18**	**18-19**	**20-21**	**17-18**	**18-19**	**20-21**
Grade 3	21.52	26.83	18.37	58.23	58.54	73.47	20.25	14.63	8.16
Grade 4	27.85	23.61	9.52	56.96	63.89	76.19	15.19	12.50	14.29
Grade 5	14.08	23.68	13.89	67.61	56.58	73.61	18.31	19.74	12.50
Grade 6	21.13	15.19	15.71	67.61	67.09	64.29	11.27	17.72	20.00
All Grades	21.33	22.33	14.17	62.33	61.49	71.65	16.33	16.18	14.17

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information									
Grade Level	**% Above Standard**			**% At or Near Standard**			**% Below Standard**		
	17-18	**18-19**	**20-21**	**17-18**	**18-19**	**20-21**	**17-18**	**18-19**	**20-21**
Grade 3	34.18	29.27	28.57	39.24	39.02	57.14	26.58	31.71	14.29
Grade 4	20.25	22.22	7.81	55.70	48.61	70.31	24.05	29.17	21.88
Grade 5	21.13	28.95	23.61	52.11	52.63	62.50	26.76	18.42	13.89
Grade 6	42.25	25.32	20.00	40.85	49.37	58.57	16.90	25.32	21.43
All Grades	29.33	26.54	19.61	47.00	47.25	62.35	23.67	26.21	18.04

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall 48.88% of 3rd graders met or exceeded standards, while 50% of 4th graders met or exceeded standards. In addition, 57.87% and 53.16% of fifth and sixth graders (respectively) met or exceeded standards.

2. Third through sixth grade need support in the area of Literacy and Non-Fictional Text, Clear and Purposeful Writing, and Investigating, Analyzing, and Presenting Information as evidence by an approximate average of 27.3%, across these grade levels, not meeting standards.

School and Student Performance Data

CAASPP Results
Mathematics (All Students)

Grade Level	# of Students Enrolled			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
Overall Participation for All Students												
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	83	82	51	79	82	49	79	82	49	95.2	100	96.1
Grade 4	80	77	67	79	72	64	79	72	64	98.8	93.5	95.5
Grade 5	75	77	73	71	76	72	71	76	72	94.7	98.7	98.6
Grade 6	73	81	76	71	79	71	71	79	71	97.3	97.5	93.4
All Grades	311	317	267	300	309	256	300	309	256	96.5	97.5	95.9

* The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Grade Level	Mean Scale Score			% Standard			% Standard Met			% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not		
Overall Achievement for All Students															
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	2423.	2436.	2430.	16.46	23.17	20.41	35.44	28.05	28.57	21.52	26.83	26.53	26.58	21.95	24.49
Grade 4	2462.	2459.	2441.	11.39	8.33	9.38	32.91	38.89	23.44	32.91	34.72	37.50	22.78	18.06	29.69
Grade 5	2489.	2495.	2466.	14.08	15.79	19.44	18.31	19.74	9.72	33.80	39.47	23.61	33.80	25.00	47.22
Grade 6	2523.	2514.	2476.	16.90	17.72	14.08	22.54	17.72	15.49	36.62	30.38	26.76	23.94	34.18	43.66
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.67	16.50	15.63	27.67	25.89	18.36	31.00	32.69	28.52	26.67	24.92	37.50

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
Concepts & Procedures **Applying mathematical concepts and procedures**									
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	29.11	32.93	26.53	41.77	41.46	48.98	29.11	25.61	24.49
Grade 4	24.05	22.22	15.63	40.51	47.22	50.00	35.44	30.56	34.38
Grade 5	15.49	23.68	13.89	36.62	40.79	40.28	47.89	35.53	45.83
Grade 6	26.76	24.05	14.08	35.21	30.38	32.39	38.03	45.57	53.52
All Grades	24.00	25.89	16.80	38.67	39.81	42.19	37.33	34.30	41.02

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Problem Solving & Modeling/Data Analysis Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	26.58	30.49	22.45	40.51	48.78	61.22	32.91	20.73	16.33
Grade 4	16.46	9.72	10.94	53.16	66.67	51.56	30.38	23.61	37.50
Grade 5	12.68	9.21	15.28	49.30	59.21	38.89	38.03	31.58	45.83
Grade 6	18.31	15.19	8.45	47.89	49.37	42.25	33.80	35.44	49.30
All Grades	18.67	16.50	13.67	47.67	55.66	47.27	33.67	27.83	39.06

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Communicating Reasoning Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions									
Grade Level	% Above Standard			% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 3	25.32	30.49	26.53	51.90	41.46	51.02	22.78	28.05	22.45
Grade 4	16.46	25.00	10.94	55.70	44.44	64.06	27.85	30.56	25.00
Grade 5	14.08	15.79	11.11	49.30	53.95	58.33	36.62	30.26	30.56
Grade 6	19.72	15.19	19.72	49.30	46.84	52.11	30.99	37.97	28.17
All Grades	19.00	21.68	16.41	51.67	46.60	56.64	29.33	31.72	26.95

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall 51.22% of 3rd graders met or exceeded standards, while 47.22% of 4th graders met or exceeded standards. In addition, 35.5% and 34.62% of fifth and sixth graders (respectively) met or exceeded standards.

2. Third through sixth grade need support in the area of Concept and Procedures, Problem Solving/Modeling Data Analysis, Communicating Reasoning as evidence by an approximate average of 29.69%, across these grade levels, not meeting standards.

School and Student Performance Data

ELPAC Results

ELPAC Summative Assessment Data Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students												
Grade Level	Overall			Oral Language			Written Language			Number of Students Tested		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades										26	18	14

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Overall Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K		*	*	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	11.11	14.29	*	33.33	28.57	*	16.67	21.43	*	38.89	35.71	26	18	14

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Grade Level	Oral Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K		*	*	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	16.67	28.57	*	33.33	28.57	*	11.11	7.14	*	38.89	35.71	26	18	14

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Grade Level	Written Language Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students														
	Level 4			Level 3			Level 2			Level 1			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K		*	*		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	0.00	7.14	*	16.67	21.43	*	38.89	28.57	*	44.44	42.86	26	18	14

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	**Well Developed**			**Somewhat/Moderately**			**Beginning**			**Total Number of Students**		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	42.31	22.22	28.57	*	33.33	35.71	*	44.44	35.71	26	18	14

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Speaking Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade Level	**Well Developed**			**Somewhat/Moderately**			**Beginning**			**Total Number of Students**		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	16.67	35.71	50.00	50.00	28.57	*	33.33	35.71	26	18	14

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	0.00	14.29	*	50.00	35.71	*	50.00	50.00	26	18	14

Reading Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Grade Level	Well Developed			Somewhat/Moderately			Beginning			Total Number of Students		
	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
K	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
4	*	*	*		*	*		*	*	*	*	*
5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
6		*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*
All Grades	*	11.11	14.29	*	50.00	42.86	*	38.89	42.86	26	18	14

Writing Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

2019-20 Data:
Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no data at this time

School and Student Performance Data

Student Population

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2020-21 Student Population			
Total Enrollment	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
457	**26.9**	**3.1**	**0.2**
This is the total number of students enrolled.	This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.	This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.	This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
English Learners	14	3.1
Foster Youth	1	0.2
Homeless	12	2.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	123	26.9
Students with Disabilities	70	15.3

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity		
Student Group	**Total**	**Percentage**
African American	2	0.4
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian	20	4.4
Filipino	8	1.8
Hispanic	275	60.2
Two or More Races	13	2.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0.2
White	129	28.2

Conclusions based on this data:

1.

School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

Academic Performance	Academic Engagement	Conditions & Climate
English Language Arts Yellow	**Chronic Absenteeism** Orange	**Suspension Rate** Orange
Mathematics Yellow		

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The current status of yellow in both ELA and math are in line with data from the CAASPP, showing that overall ELA and math scores have declined. There is no state date from 2019, in lieu of state testing data, we relied on teacher collected data and district benchmark data. Macy's intervention programs and use of Accelerated Reader, Lexia, Moby Max and ST Math will continue.

2. The change from yellow to green status in the Suspension Rate indicator shows that the programs put in place for last year, PBIS training and implementation, were successful, we will continue these positive behavior school wide programs.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
English Language Arts

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
1	0	2	1	0

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
Yellow	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
5.3 points above standard	27.6 points below standard	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
Maintained -0.6 points	Declined -3.8 points	
302	28	1

Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities
No Performance Color	Yellow	Red
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	28.3 points below standard	103 points below standard
	Increased Significantly ++16.1 points	Declined -11.3 points
4	84	41

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	0 Students	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	0 Students
3		10	
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Yellow	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Green
4.8 points below standard	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	12.2 points above standard
Maintained -0.7 points	3	1	Declined -3.5 points
189			93

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners		
Current English Learner	**Reclassified English Learners**	**English Only**
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	1.2 points above standard	7.3 points above standard
7	Increased ++12.8 points	Maintained -1.6 points
	21	269

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The data from 2019 shows that there was a decline in all subgroups, but the decline among EL, SED, and SPED students is dramatic. It is clear that programs such as Accelerated Reader and Moby Max were not used with fidelity.

2. Administration and staff at Macy need to fully implement Accelerated Reader, Thinking Maps, and Write from the Beginning as part of a total plan to improve student achievement among these groups.

3. Intervention programs built into the school day, as well as the addition of before and after school tutoring, will focus on ELA goals and student achievement.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
Mathematics

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report				
Red	**Orange**	**Yellow**	**Green**	**Blue**
1	1	2	0	0

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
Yellow	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
22.2 points below standard	63 points below standard	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
Maintained ++0.6 points	Declined -7 points	
		1
302	28	
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
No Performance Color	Yellow	Red
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	59.3 points below standard	117.8 points below standard
	Increased ++13.5 points	Maintained ++0.9 points
4		
	84	41

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3		No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 10	
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Orange 33.4 points below standard Maintained ++0.1 points 189	No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 3	No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 1	Yellow 12.6 points below standard Maintained ++0.3 points 93

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners		
Current English Learner	**Reclassified English Learners**	**English Only**
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy 7	38.3 points below standard Increased ++14.5 points 21	19 points below standard Maintained ++0.1 points 269

Conclusions based on this data:

1. With all student groups scoring at yellow, and Hispanic, SED, and EL students in the orange, it is evident that the interventions put in place in 2019 were not successful, teachers at all levels need to use iReady and Accelerated Reader with fidelity.

2. Macy needs to put in place programs to improve student achievement in all subgroups, and teachers need to implement the existing programs with fidelity.

# School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
English Learner Progress

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

English Learner Progress
No Performance Color
30.8 making progress towards English language proficiency
Number of EL Students: 13
Performance Level: VeryLow

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results			
Decreased One ELPI Level	Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H	Maintained ELPI Level 4	Progressed At Least One ELPI Level
23.0	46.1		30.7

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The listed performance level of Macy's EL students as "very low" suggests that daily directed EL instruction in the classroom has been ineffective.

2. Teachers should participate in professional development to improve instruction and meet the needs of these students.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Performance
College/Career Measures Only Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

Number and Percentage of Students in the Combined Graduation Rate and/or Dashboard Alternative School Status (DASS) Graduation Rate by Student Group		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

Advanced Placement Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort Students		
Student Group	**Cohort Totals**	**Cohort Percent**
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.

International Baccalaureate Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort		
Student Group	**Cohort Totals**	**Cohort Percent**
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate Exams.

Completed at Least One Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	**Cohort Totals**	**Cohort Percent**
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed a-g Requirements – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	**Cohort Totals**	**Cohort Percent**
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass).

Completed a-g Requirements AND at Least One CTE Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

*   This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the UC or CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass) AND completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, or Two Trimesters of College Credit Courses		
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

*   This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing Two Semesters, Three Quarters, or Three Trimesters of College Credit Courses		
Student Group	**Number of Students**	**Percent of Students**
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

*   This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy – Number and Percentage of All Students		
Student Group	**Cohort Totals**	**Cohort Percent**
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

*   This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who earned the State Seal of Biliteracy.

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This is not applicable

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement
Chronic Absenteeism

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	4	0	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

All Students	English Learners	Foster Youth
Orange	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
6.8	11.1	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
Increased +3	Increased +3.7	4
541	27	

Homeless	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities
No Performance Color	Orange	Orange
21.1	9.9	13.6
Increased +21.1	Increased Significantly +5	Increased +3.1
19	161	81

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity			
African American	**American Indian**	**Asian**	**Filipino**
No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	13	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy
6	0	Increased +13	4
		23	
Hispanic	**Two or More Races**	**Pacific Islander**	**White**
Orange	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange
6	15.4	Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy	6.2
Increased +1.3	Increased +15.4	2	Increased Significantly +3.8
315	13		178

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Data from the Chronic Absenteeism indicator show that Macy would benefit from improved student engagement. Data from the 2019-2020 school year prior to the school closures due to COVID-19 showed promising increases in attendance. Staff and administration will continue the programs put in place and intended to improve attendance upon our return to in-person learning.

2. Although students were quarantined due to COVID exposures, upon our return to in-person learning in the fall of 2021 and throughout the year, Macy has seen attendance rates in the mid 90% range. This is promising as we move forward and out of the large numbers of students required to quarantine due to COVID.

School and Student Performance Data

Academic Engagement
## Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group				
Student Group	Number of Students in the Graduation Rate	Number of Graduates	Number of Fifth Year Graduates	Graduation Rate
All Students				
English Learners				
Foster Youth				
Homeless				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
Students with Disabilities				
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				

Conclusions based on this data:

1. This is not applicable and there is no data

School and Student Performance Data

Conditions & Climate
Suspension Rate

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance										Highest Performance
	Red		Orange		Yellow		Green		Blue	

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	**Orange**	**Yellow**	**Green**	**Blue**
0	3	1	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group		
All Students	**English Learners**	**Foster Youth**
Orange	No Performance Color	No Performance Color
1.1	0	Less than 11 Students - Data Not 4
Increased +0.5	Maintained 0	
553	28	
Homeless	**Socioeconomically Disadvantaged**	**Students with Disabilities**
No Performance Color	Orange	Orange
5.3	2.4	3.6
Increased +5.3	Increased +1.2	Increased +2.5
19	165	83

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino
No Performance Color		No Performance Color	No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data 6		0	Less than 11 Students - Data 4
		Maintained 0 23	

Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White
Yellow	No Performance Color	No Performance Color	Orange
0.9	7.1	Less than 11 Students - Data 2	1.1
Increased +0.3 322	Increased +7.1 14		Increased +0.5 182

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

2017	2018	2019
	0.6	1.1

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Review of the Suspension Rate data, which shows the improvement from yellow to green, supports the continued use of programs put in place to improve student engagement and school climate. These programs will continue when we return to in-person learning.

2. There were two students suspended during the 2021-2022 school year. This data appears to show improvement in student engagement and school climate.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Campus Climate

LEA/LCAP Goal

Safe, Orderly, Positive, Respectful Learning Environments: All campuses provide an aesthetic, orderly environment that is organized to ensure learning. We believe in a collaborative spirit of place where all feel safe, welcomed, valued, and respected. We foster a culture that promotes the emotional health, safety, well-being, and involvement of students, staff, family and community.

Goal 1

Macy Elementary will continue to improve parent and student engagement and support of a positive and supportive school climate, as measured by the annual California School Dashboard, results from the LCAP parent survey, chronic absenteeism rate, and annual suspension data.

Identified Need

Data from local school records show a 28.4% chronic absenteeism rate during the 2021-2022 school year. This does not differentiate between mandatory quarantined or COVID positive students, and absences due to illness not associated with COVID or other absences not related to illness.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
School data	California Dashboard reporting was suspended during the 2021-2022 school year.	Bu August 2023, Macy will improve student attendance as shown by a green or blue indicator on the California Dashboard.
Student Attendance Records	Macy's attendance records, as reported monthly, show an increase in chronic absenteeism in the 2021-2022 school year.	Macy's daily attendance will meet or exceed 95% monthly as evidence by local data.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Teachers at Macy will provide class rewards for attendance.   Individual classroom teachers will support and incentivize improved attendance by rewarding their classes with "Perfect Attendance" rewards.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	None Specified None Specified
0	None Specified None Specified

Strategy/Activity 2
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Students will be awarded perfect attendance certificates at the end of each trimester and the end of the year to acknowledge their achievement.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
200	Site Formula Funds 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Purchase of Certificates

Strategy/Activity 3
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Macy staff will continue with school wide use of Eagle Cards and Principal's Award to reinforce attendance and a positive school climate. Students will continue to enjoy the weekly behavior rewards afforded by the Friday prize cart.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
200	Site Formula Funds 4000-4999: Books And Supplies No cost is associated with this strategy/activity

Strategy/Activity 4
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Increase school to home communication regarding the importance of attendance and its link to academic success, specifically targeting families of chronically absent students.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	None Specified None Specified No cost is associated with this strategy/activity

Strategy/Activity 5
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

District providing incentive to achieve 98% or higher attendance rate.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
2000	LCFF

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Data collected up to the Omicron outbreak in January 2022 showed improvement in attendance records, leading to the conclusion that, but for the pandemic, attendance strategies were successful.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Increased communication specifically targeting families of chronically absent students.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

No changes will be made.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

English Language Arts

LEA/LCAP Goal

All students in the Lowell Joint School District will demonstrate continuous student achievement and progress toward being college and career ready.

Goal 2

By August 2023, the percentage of students in grades 3-6 scoring in the Met or Exceeded achievement level in ELA will be at or above 55%.

Identified Need

As identified by the 2021-2022 Smarter Balanced assessment 49.41% of Macy students scored in the Met or Exceeded Standard achievement level. This places Macy slightly above the state average (49.01%) but below the district average (53.23%).

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASPP	Macy performance summary from the Smarter Balanced CAASPP shows 48.57% of students tested did not meet the standard	By August 2023, 55% or more of students tested will score in the Met or Exceeded the standard achievement level in ELA on the Smarter Balanced CAASPP
CA Dashboard Data	California Dashboard data was suspended during the COVID years.	Macy will show a status of green or blue on the California Dashboard ELA indicator

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Teachers will implement the district adopted ELA program Thinking maps, Write from the Beginning, and iReady with fidelity.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	None Specified None Specified No cost associated with this strategy/activity

Strategy/Activity 2
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

Under the direction of their teachers, all students will complete one entire writing process each month. Teachers will provide students with exemplars and rubrics as guidance throughout the writing process. During PLC time teachers will work to develop rubrics and exemplars and work through writing samples to share successes and areas in need of improvement. Through this professional sharing and discussion, instruction and student performance in writing will improve.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	None Specified None Specified No cost associated with this strategy/activity

Strategy/Activity 3
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Macy's intervention staff will work five days a week to improve reading fluency and comprehension with students referred by their teachers and identified as at risk students.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
20,000	District Funded 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries

	Salary for Intervention teachers
7,000	LCFF

Strategy/Activity 4
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Implementation of Accelerated Reader to be used in grades 1-6 to build fluency and comprehension. Teachers will submit monthly reading assessment scores.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
8500	Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) None Specified Purchase of Software Program
2388	LCFF 5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures Purchase of Software Program

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Strategies implemented during the 2021-2022 school year served to mitigate learning loss from the virtual year 2020-2021. Upon return to in-person learning in 2021-2022, teachers used iReady and Accelerated Reader and intervention teachers were able to meet with students in person. Scores from 2022 Smarter Balanced Assessments will prove effectiveness of the strategies implemented. Scores from the 2021 school year were lower than expected.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The Accelerated Reader (AR) program will continue from last year. PTA will purchase the program from funds raised to specifically target literacy. Furthermore, the addition of the second Intervention Teacher and the implementation of the iReady program impacted budget expenditures.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Changes in the program which will lead to improved student achievement are found in the implementation of the district adopted writing programs, completion of the entire writing process every month, and improved PLC time for teachers as outlined above.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

Math

LEA/LCAP Goal

All students in the Lowell Joint School District will demonstrate continuous student achievement and progress toward being college and career ready.

Goal 3

By August 2023, Macy students will continue to strive towards high levels of academic excellence in relation to being College and Career Ready in Math and 37% will score in the Met or Exceeded the Standard achievement level on the Smarter Balanced assessment.

Identified Need

As identified by the Smarter Balanced assessment, 33.99% of students scored at the Met or Exceeded achievement level in the area of Math. This slightly higher than the state average (33.76%) but lower than the District average (35.22%)

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
CAASP	66.02% of students did not meet the standard in the area of math on the Smarter Balanced assessment	Students will demonstrate academic growth on the 2022 CAASPP assessment with 37% of students scoring in the Met or Exceeded achievement level
CA Dashboard	California Dashboard reporting was suspended during the 2020-2021 school year	Students will score at the green or blue level in math as reported on the 2022 California Dashboard.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

All classrooms will display a CUBES poster and students will be trained to employ the CUBES process for solving daily word problem.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
150	Site Formula Funds 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Cost of CUBE posters for each classroom grades 2-6

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students

Strategy/Activity

3-6 grades teachers will be encouraged to visit and observe master teachers in math to learn successful strategies for math achievement.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
2700	District Funded 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries Cost of grade level substitutes for all grade levels so each grade level can observe, then meet and plan for a full school day
540	District Funded 3000-3999: Employee Benefits Cost of benefits for grade level substitutes for all grade levels so each grade level can observe then meet and plan for a full school day

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Students will use the iReady instructional program with fidelity to help build a conceptual understanding of math through rigorous learning and creative problem solving that helps to engage, motivate and challenge students toward higher achievement.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
4,200	District Funded 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Purchase of Software

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

The performance summary of CAASPP scores in math show 33.99% of students met the standard in math.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

Although teacher visits and observations of master teachers were planned for the 2021-2022 school year, COVID restrictions made this strategy difficult to implement. These observations will be implemented in 2022-23.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Professional collaboration, use of iReady , and the addition of the CUBES strategy will improve student achievement in math as articulated above.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

School Culture

LEA/LCAP Goal

Continue to strengthen communication with parents and community members by providing resources and opportunities for parents' active engagement in their student's education.

Goal 4

By August 2023, Macy will continue to cultivate a school culture that encourages positive feelings among staff and families through continued effective communication, and added opportunities for student enrichment and above grade level learning, where appropriate, as evidenced by our LCAP parent survey.

Identified Need

Review of the LCAP Parent Survey shows that while 91% of parents felt that Macy offers a strong academic program, only 73.5% of parents felt that Macy encourages high academic goals and 51.4% of parents felt that students were offered opportunities to work above grade level and for enrichment.

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome
LCAP Survey	Review of the 2022 LCAP Parent Survey shows that while 91% of parents surveyed believe that Macy provides a strong academic program for its students, 48.6% believe that Macy does not offer adequate opportunities for students to work above grade level where appropriate.	By August 2023 as seen in the LCAP surveys parents will have an overall more positive rating of the school and opportunities for student enrichment and above grade level learning

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

School Messenger at least weekly while school in session to continue improved communication with families.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	Site Formula Funds 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Cost associated for this Strategy/Activity associated with purchase of paper

Strategy/Activity 2

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

All students in each classroom will be given the opportunity to complete enrichment activities, where appropriate, utilizing the outdoor learning space and the Macy Garden.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)

Strategy/Activity 3

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

Update school website regularly, listing upcoming events and opportunities for parents and students at the school, as well as achievements of our students and staff.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
0	

Strategy/Activity 4

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All Students

Strategy/Activity

All students in each classroom will be given the opportunity to complete a STEAM activity weekly with at least one time monthly in the STEAM Lab.

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
1000	Site Formula Funds 4000-4999: Books And Supplies Cost for this strategy associated with materials to be purchased for STEAM lab activities

Annual Review

SPSA Year Reviewed: 2021-22

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Weekly School messengers and emails have been successful in sharing school information with the community. Review of the 2021-2022 LCAP Parent Survey that only 8.3% of parents surveyed feel that data is not provided that demonstrates student achievement, this is down from 24% in 20-21, 91.1% of parents believe that they are well informed about opportunities to be involved in their child's education, this is up from 87% in 20-21, and 11.7% of parents surveyed believe that the school does not encourage high academic goals this is down from 26.5% in 20-21.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

NA

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

Information in the LCAP brought to light a new challenge in the area of opportunities for above grade level work. With all teachers now GATE trained, Staff will leverage that training to offer more opportunities for above grade level learning.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 5

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 6

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 7

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject

LEA/LCAP Goal

Goal 8

Identified Need

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator	Baseline/Actual Outcome	Expected Outcome

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

Strategy/Activity 1
Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity
(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

Budget Summary

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	$0
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	$0
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	$48,878.00

Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation ($)

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: $

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation ($)
	$0.00
District Funded	$27,440.00
LCFF	$11,388.00
None Specified	$0.00
Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)	$8,500.00
Site Formula Funds	$1,550.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: $48,878.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: $48,878.00

Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount	Balance

Expenditures by Funding Source

Funding Source	Amount
	0.00
District Funded	27,440.00
LCFF	11,388.00
None Specified	0.00
Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)	8,500.00
Site Formula Funds	1,550.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference

Budget Reference	Amount
	2,000.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	2,700.00
2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	20,000.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	540.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	5,750.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	2,388.00
None Specified	8,500.00

Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
		0.00
1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	District Funded	2,700.00

2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	District Funded	20,000.00
3000-3999: Employee Benefits	District Funded	540.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	District Funded	4,200.00
	LCFF	7,000.00
	LCFF	2,000.00
5000-5999: Services And Other Operating Expenditures	LCFF	2,388.00
None Specified	None Specified	0.00
None Specified	Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)	8,500.00
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	Site Formula Funds	1,550.00

Expenditures by Goal

Goal Number	Total Expenditures
Goal 1	2,400.00
Goal 2	37,888.00
Goal 3	7,590.00
Goal 4	1,000.00

School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

1 School Principal

2 Classroom Teachers

1 Other School Staff

5 Parent or Community Members

Name of Members	Role
Gary Borg	Parent or Community Member
Amy Knierim	Parent or Community Member
Shannon Ladwig	Parent or Community Member
Kim Johnson	Parent or Community Member
Chelsea Shrainer	Parent or Community Member
Christian Mangold	Classroom Teacher
Mary Shaw	Classroom Teacher
Patty Jacobsen	Principal
Raul Lujano	Other School Staff

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

Signature	Committee or Advisory Group Name

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 4/19/2023.

Attested:

Principal, Patricia K. Jacobsen on 4/19/2022

SSC Chairperson, Chelsea Shrainer on 4/19/2023

Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at TITLEI@cde.ca.gov.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Purpose and Description

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

Purpose

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Description

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

Resource Inequities

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

Identified Need

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

Annual Measurable Outcomes

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school's identification.]

Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Annual Review

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

Analysis

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

● Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

● Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

● Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

Budget Summary

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

Budget Summary

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

● Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.

● Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

Appendix A: Plan Requirements

Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

Requirements for Development of the Plan

I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:

A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.

1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:

a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and

b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—

i. Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and

ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and

iii. Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.

iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.

v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.

B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

Requirements for the Plan

II. The SPSA shall include the following:

A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)

1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--

   a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards

   b. use methods and instructional strategies that:

      i. strengthen the academic program in the school,

      ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and

      iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.

   c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:

      i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;

      ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;

      iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;

      iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and

      v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.

D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).

1. Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;

2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and

3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).

F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to

   1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and

   2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.

G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.

H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).

I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

Appendix B:

Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

The CSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);

2. Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf);

3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and

4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

Targeted Support and Improvement

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

The TSI plan shall:

1. Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and

2. Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

Additional Targeted Support and Improvement

A school identified for ATSI shall:

1. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For a list of active programs, please see the following links:
Programs included on the Consolidated Application: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/
ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp
Available Funding: https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019